



PRISMA SHIELD



CRESCENTSWAP

DEEP LOGIC AUDIT REPORT

CrescentSwap: Moonlight token and
MoonlightRedeem Contracts

MAY 24 2023



Table of Contents

Overview	03
Contract Addresses	06
Moonlight	07
MoonlightRedeem	16
How To Interpret Findings	23
Disclaimer	24



Overview

This audit only covers the Moonlight token and MoonlightRedeem contracts. It does not cover any other contracts built by CrescentSwap.

Moonlight token is a simple ERC20 token with added centralization of control. It allows the contract owner (Gnosis multisig address `0x70fDFC034f2AB7Ab8E279f1A30d4Af2905F8C06D`) to blacklist addresses from transferring the token. The reason the team gave for having this feature is to disallow the token from being listed on some DEXes. The token also allows the owner to disable token transfers in general.

MoonlightRedeem allows burning Moonlight tokens in exchange for receiving USDT and other tokens added to the MoonlightRedeem contract.

What is a Deep Logic Audit?

A deep logic smart contract audit is a human-driven code review that checks all of the code business logic for bugs, mathematical errors, and security risks. The audit verifies that the code honors the whitepaper. In addition, this service includes mainnet testing and proactive communication with the project owners to ensure full comprehension of the project to provide the best possible code review quality.

Overview

Findings Summary

	Total Findings	Resolved	Acknowledged
Total Findings	13	13	0
High Security Findings	3	3	0
Medium Security Findings	0	0	0
High Logical Findings	5	5	0
Medium Logical Findings	2	2	0
Informational Findings	3	3	0

ID	Section	Type	Severity	Page	Status
MLT-01	Moonlight	Security	High	07	Resolved
MLT-02	Moonlight	Security	High	08	Resolved
MLT-03	Moonlight	Logical	High	09	Resolved
MLT-04	Moonlight	Logical	High	10	Resolved
MLT-05	Moonlight	Logical	Medium	11	Resolved
MLT-06	Moonlight	Logical	Medium	12	Resolved
MLT-07	Moonlight	Logical	Informational	13	Resolved

Overview

Findings Summary

ID	Section	Type	Severity	Page	Status
MLT-08	Moonlight	Logical	Informational	14	Resolved
RDM-01	MoonlightRedeem	Security	High	16	Resolved
RDM-02	MoonlightRedeem	Logical	High	17-18	Resolved
RDM-03	MoonlightRedeem	Logical	High	19	Resolved
RDM-04	MoonlightRedeem	Logical	High	20	Resolved
RDM-05	MoonlightRedeem	Logical	Informational	21	Resolved

Contract Addresses

Moonlight

<https://arbiscan.io/address/0x0a1694716DE67c98f61942b2cAB7Df7FE659c87A#code>

MoonlightRedeem

<https://arbiscan.io/address/0x209c4e58ffd5dff54dc4283e23c17052c91bc749#code>

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-01 - Security High Severity

The Gnosis multisig address `0x70fDFC034f2AB7Ab8E279f1A30d4Af2905F8C06D` can't be used directly to deploy the contract on the blockchain, and therefore is not the contract `owner` on deployment. The deploying non-multisig address will need to explicitly call `transferOwnership` post-deployment. If ownership is not transferred to the Gnosis multisig address, the non-multisig address will have unilateral control over the contract, and will be able to call all admin functions unilaterally.

Recommendation

Call `_transferOwnership(0x70fDFC034f2AB7Ab8E279f1A30d4Af2905F8C06D)`; in the constructor.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-02 - Security High Severity

The `burnFrom` function allows anyone to burn anyone else's tokens.

Recommendation

Remove the custom `burnFrom` implementation and instead inherit from the `OpenZeppelin ERC20Burnable` contract.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-03 - Logical High Severity

The contract does not have the `burn` or `burnFrom` functions implemented, which are required by other contracts.

Recommendation

Inherit from the `OpenZeppelin ERC20Burnable` contract.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-04 - Logical High Severity

`addToBlacklist` should not be allowed to add `_feeReceiver` and the `WrappedMoonLight` contract address to `_blacklist`. And similarly, `setFeeReceiver` should not allow setting an address that is in `_blacklist`. If these happen, the other contracts would stop working as expected.

Recommendation

Add `require` statements to `addToBlacklist` to reject an address that is `_feeReceiver` or `WrappedMoonLight`, and add a `require` statement to `setFeeReceiver` to reject an address that is in `_blacklist`.

Resolution

The team has entirely removed `_feeReceiver` and the `WrappedMoonLight` contract.

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-05 - Logical Medium Severity

The initial total supply is set to 3M tokens, but it is supposed to be 4M.

Recommendation

```
Set _initialSupply = 4000000 * 10**18;
```

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-06 - Logical Medium Severity

The `msg.sender` in the `constructor` and `_feeReceiver` are not whitelisted, and so their token transfers will be taxed.

Recommendation

Add `msg.sender` and `_feeReceiver` to `_whitelist` in the `constructor`, and `setFeeReceiver` should be modified to set `_whitelist` to `true` for the new `_feeReceiver` (and optionally `false` for the old `_feeReceiver`). Moreover, `removeFromWhitelist` should not be allowed to remove `_feeReceiver` from `_whitelist`.

Resolution

The team has removed `_feeReceiver`, whitelisting, and the fee-on-transfer from the contract.

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-07 - Logical Informational Severity

In `_beforeTokenTransfer`, there is no need to call `super._beforeTokenTransfer(from, to, amount)`; which has an empty implementation.

Recommendation

Remove `super._beforeTokenTransfer(from, to, amount)`; from `_beforeTokenTransfer`.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Moonlight

MLT-08 - Logical Informational Severity

- `addToBlacklist`, `removeFromBlacklist`, `burn`, and `burnFrom` are not called in this contract, yet they are set to `public` visibility
- Warning: Unused function parameter. Remove or comment out the variable name to silence this warning: the `amount` parameter in `_beforeTokenTransfer`
- `_beforeTokenTransfer` does not modify any state variables, but its mutability is not set to `view`

Recommendation

- Change `addToBlacklist`, `removeFromBlacklist`, `burn`, and `burnFrom` from `public` to `external`
- Change `_beforeTokenTransfer` to:

```
function _beforeTokenTransfer(address from, address to, uint256) internal  
view whenNotPaused override {
```

```
    ...  
}
```

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendations, and removed the custom implementation of `burn` and `burnFrom`.

Overview

Moonlight

- This contract is an **ERC20** token that has added centralization of control. It allows the contract **owner** (Gnosis multisig address **0x70fDFC034f2AB7Ab8E279f1A30d4Af2905F8C06D**) to blacklist addresses from transferring the token and also to disable token transfers in general.
- **addToBlacklist** and **removeFromBlacklist** can be used to add or remove an address from the token transfer blacklist. **The contract owner has admin powers to call these functions.**
- **pause** and **unpause** can be use to disable or enable token transfers. **The contract owner has admin powers to call these functions.**

Audit Findings

MoonlightRedeem

RDM-01 - Security High Severity

`addToken` allows adding already added `tokens`, which can be abused to `redeem` more `tokens` than allowed.

Recommendation

Modify `addToken` to not allow adding `tokens` that have already been added.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

MoonlightRedeem

RDM-02 - Logical High Severity

Because of the `redeem` mechanism and the `redeemFee`, this contract runs the risk of potentially locking in tokens (like USDT) forever. For example, if the `redeemFee` is never changed to 0, or if no one owns any `Moonlight` tokens.

Recommendation

Add a way to extract tokens from this contract in such cases:

```
uint256 public lastRedeem;

constructor(address _moonlight) {
    ...
    lastRedeem = block.timestamp;
}

function redeem(uint256 amount) external {
    ...
    require(amount > 0, "Redeeming 0 is not allowed");
    lastRedeem = block.timestamp;
}

function recoverERC20(address tokenAddress, uint256 tokenAmount) external
onlyOwner {
    if(exists[tokenAddress]) {
        require(IERC20(moonlight).totalSupply() == 0 || block.timestamp - lastRedeem >
365 days, "Not allowed to recover");
    }
    IERC20(tokenAddress).transfer(IMoonlight(moonlight).owner(), tokenAmount);
}
```

Audit Findings

MoonlightRedeem

RDM-02 - Logical High Severity

Resolution

The team has implemented a `recoverERC20` function which can be used by the contract `owner` to transfer any `ERC20` token out of the contract. The function can be used at any time with no restrictions. The CrescentSwap team have explained that the `MoonlightRedeem` contract is funded by CrescentSwap + CrescentSwap's revenue, and therefore the contract `owner` reserves the right to deposit/withdraw from the `MoonlightRedeem` contract as they please.

Audit Findings

MoonlightRedeem

RDM-03 - Logical High Severity

The `IMoonlight` interface defines a `getOwner` function that is not implemented by the `Moonlight` contract.

Recommendation

Change `getOwner` to `owner`, in the `IMoonlight` interface and in the `onlyOwner` modifier definition.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

MoonlightRedeem

RDM-04 - Logical High Severity

`getFloorPrice` and `amountToRedeem` do not implement the correct decimals math, which will break when adding tokens to the contract with different decimals.

Recommendation

Change the code as follows:

```
function getFloorPrice(address token) external view returns (uint256) {
    return
        (IERC20(token).balanceOf(address(this)) *
         10 ** IERC20(moonlight).decimals()) /
        IERC20(moonlight).totalSupply();
}
```

```
function amountToRedeem(
    address token,
    uint256 amount
) public view returns (uint256) {
    return
        (IERC20(token).balanceOf(address(this)) * amount) /
        IERC20(moonlight).totalSupply();
}
```

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

MoonlightRedeem

RDM-05 - Logical Informational Severity

The `delete` statement at the end of the `redeem` function does not have any benefit.

Recommendation

Remove the `delete` statement.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Overview

MoonlightRedeem

- This contract burns **Moonlight** tokens from users in exchange for receiving **tokens** like **USDT** that have been added to the contract. The percentage of **tokens** received by users is the same as percentage of **Moonlight** tokens being burned of the **totalSupply** of **Moonlight** tokens, minus a **redeemFee**.
- The **redeem** function is used by users to **burn** the specified amount of **Moonlight** tokens, in exchange for receiving their percentage of the **tokens** in the **MoonlightRedeem** contract.
- The **redeemFee** publicly viewable variable contains the percentage deducted from the amount of **tokens** redeemed by users. It defaults to 2%, but can be changed to anything between 0% and 50%. **The Moonlight owner has admin powers to change this value.**
- The **tokens** publicly viewable variable contains the list of token addresses that can be redeemed by users. **The Moonlight owner has admin powers to change this value.**
- The **amountToRedeemWithFee** publicly viewable function can be used to return the amount of a **token** that will be received if the specified **amount** of **Moonlight** tokens is burned.
- The **recoverERC20** function is used to remove any amount of any token from the contract. **The Moonlight owner has admin powers to call this function.**

How to Interpret Findings

Security - High Severity

Indicates that users' funds are at risk or that there is a high probability of exploitation.

Security - Medium Severity

No risk to the protocol or those who interact with it, however it should be highlighted nonetheless.

Logical - High Severity

Indicates that the errors puts users' funds at risk, or can result in significant functional failure in the code.

Logical - Medium Severity

Indicates some functional failure or discrepancy in the code.

Logical - Informational

Minor discrepancy between the intended functionality of the code and the implementation, which does not result in functional failure, or a recommendation to improve the logic.

Yellow Text

Indicates centralization of control and admin powers.

Red Text

An important warning to take note of.

Disclaimer

The information in this deep logic audit report objectively describes the smart contracts being audited, and points out logical and mathematical errors, security risks and vulnerabilities, and optimization opportunities in the audited code. This deep logic audit does not ensure the correctness or authenticity of any software or dApp that interacts with or claims to interact with any smart contract.

This audit report does not constitute any advice whatsoever. You are solely responsible for conducting your own due diligence and consulting your financial advisor before making any investment decisions. Trust in project owners is required to invest in this protocol as a Prisma Shield audit does not ensure the fulfillment of roadmap deliverables and allocation of funds. While our deep logic audits raise the level of security, reliability, mathematical accuracy, and logical soundness of the smart contracts reviewed, they do not amount to any form of warranty or guarantee that the reviewed smart contracts are void of any weaknesses, vulnerabilities, or bugs. Prisma Shield and its founders, employees, owners, and associates are not liable for any damage or loss of funds. Please ensure trust in the team prior to investing as this deep logic audit does not guarantee the promised use of your funds.



Introducing Deep Logic
Smart Contract
Auditing to Web3



prismashield.com



prismashield@gmail.com



[PrismaShield](https://twitter.com/PrismaShield)



[PrismaShield](https://t.me/PrismaShield)