



PRISMA SHIELD



CRESCENTSWAP

DEEP LOGIC AUDIT REPORT

CrescentSwap: Artemis Token and
FeeRecipient Contracts

APR 06 2023



Table of Contents

Overview	03
Contract Addresses	06
Artemis	07
FeeRecipient	17
How To Interpret Findings	22
Disclaimer	23



Overview

This audit only covers the Artemis and FeeRecipient contracts. It does not cover any other contracts built by CrescentSwap.

Please note that the FeeRecipient contract has a dependency on the GenesisArtemisApes smart contract (0x5dc5695cc991f277f47ecef73f5a016d8a938b94) which has not been audited by Prisma Shield. If said contract contains any errors, it could negatively affect the FeeRecipient contract and any user funds associated with it.

What is a Deep Logic Audit?

A deep logic smart contract audit is a human-driven code review that checks all of the code business logic for bugs, mathematical errors, and security risks. The audit verifies that the code honors the whitepaper. In addition, this service includes mainnet testing and proactive communication with the project owners to ensure full comprehension of the project to provide the best possible code review quality.

Overview

Findings Summary

	Total Findings	Resolved	Acknowledged
Total Findings	12	8	4
High Security Findings	2	0	2
Medium Security Findings	2	2	0
High Logical Findings	3	2	1
Medium Logical Findings	1	1	0
Informational Findings	4	3	1

ID	Section	Type	Severity	Page	Status
ART-01	Artemis	Logical	High	07	Resolved
ART-02	Artemis	Security	Medium	08	Resolved
ART-03	Artemis	Security	Medium	09	Resolved
ART-04	Artemis	Logical	Medium	10	Resolved
ART-05	Artemis	Logical	Informational	11	Acknowledged
ART-06	Artemis	Logical	Informational	12	Resolved
ART-07	Artemis	Logical	Informational	13	Resolved

Overview

Findings Summary

ID	Section	Type	Severity	Page	Status
ART-08	Artemis	Logical	Informational	14	Resolved
FEE-01	FeeRecipient	Security	High	17	Acknowledged
FEE-02	FeeRecipient	Security	High	18	Acknowledged
FEE-03	FeeRecipient	Logical	High	19	Acknowledged
FEE-04	FeeRecipient	Logical	High	20	Resolved

Contract Addresses

Artemis

<https://arbiscan.io/address/0x3DD2E3005aE6Eda0D8A3967F6fC0799c4C842A08#code>

FeeRecipient

<https://arbiscan.io/address/0x87503DF392591D9eD31EFb0F265c795D489f8653#code>

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-01 - Logical High Severity

In `tokensToMint`, the math does not match the promise made in the documentation. It is presented in the code as:

```
_totalSupply * received / (totalBacking + 8% * received) * 92%
```

When it should simply be:

```
_totalSupply * received / totalBacking * 92%
```

Recommendation

Modify the code to be

```
_totalSupply.mul(received).div(totalBacking).mul(mintFee).div(feeDenominator);
```

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-02 - Security Medium Severity

In addition to `approve`, the functions `increaseAllowance` and `decreaseAllowance` should be implemented to mitigate the well-known issues around setting allowance (<https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/issues/20#issuecomment-263524729>).

Recommendation

Add the `increaseAllowance` and `decreaseAllowance` implementations:

```
function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) external returns (bool) {
    uint256 amount = _allowances[msg.sender][spender].add(addedValue);
    _allowances[msg.sender][spender] = amount ;
    emit Approval(msg.sender, spender, amount);
    return true;
}
```

```
function decreaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 subtractedValue) external returns (bool) {
    uint256 amount = _allowances[msg.sender][spender].sub(subtractedValue,
"Decreased allowance below zero");
    _allowances[msg.sender][spender] = amount ;
    emit Approval(msg.sender, spender, amount);
    return true;
}
```

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-03 - Security Medium Severity

`setFees` does not check that the fees are less than or equal to 10^{**5} . Without this check, if the contract `owner` sets the fees to a number larger than 10^{**5} , this can have adverse effects on user funds.

Recommendation

Add the check that the fees are less than are equal to 10^{**5} in `setFees`.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-04 - Logical Medium Severity

In `transfer`, if the `recipient` is the `msg.sender`, then this triggers `_sell`. This may result in unexpected behavior for a user who intends to just transfer the tokens to themselves (e.g. for testing or any other reason). Moreover, the same behavior should be implemented in `transferFrom` for consistency.

Recommendation

Remove the `call` to `_sell` in `transfer` and just call `_transferFrom`, and change `_transferFrom` as such:

```
function transfer(address recipient, uint256 amount) external override nonReentrant
returns (bool) {
    return _transferFrom(msg.sender, recipient, amount);
}
```

```
function _transferFrom(address sender, address recipient, uint256 amount) internal
returns (bool) {
    require(recipient != address(0) && sender != address(0), "Transfer To Zero");
    if (sender == recipient) {
        require(_balances[sender] >= amount, "Insufficient Balance");
        emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
        return true;
    }
    ...
}
```

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-05 - Logical Informational Severity

In `transfer` and `_transferFrom`, if the `sender == recipient`, a `Transfer event` is emitted without checking that `_balances[sender]` is larger than or equal to the `amount` being transferred.

This is a "visual" bug, and does not have any side effects on the smart contract other than, if user A is approved to transfer from user B, and then user A transfers from user B to user B more than user B's balance, then this will change the approval even though the transaction is supposed to fail and revert.

Recommendation

Before the `Transfer event` is emitted, check that `_balances[sender]` is enough. See the implementation provided in [ART-04](#).

Resolution

The team has acknowledged that this is a minor "visual" bug and has not implemented the fix.

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-06 - Logical Informational Severity

`setFeeRecipient` does not set `isTransferFeeExempt` to `false` for the old `feeRecipient`.

Recommendation

Set `isTransferFeeExempt[feeRecipient] = false`; before updating the `feeRecipient`.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-07 - Logical Informational Severity

`_mintWithBacking` does not check that the `recipient` is not the zero address.

Recommendation

Add the check `require(recipient != address(0), 'Zero Address');` to `_mintWithBacking`.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Audit Findings

Artemis

ART-08 - Logical Informational Severity

A couple of gas-saving opportunities:

- The checks in `_mintWithBacking` for the user's `USDT` balance are not required, because the `USDT transfer` function will fail anyways if the user's balance is insufficient
- There is no need for `block.timestamp + 300` in the `router.swapExactETHForTokens` function call, simply using `block.timestamp` is sufficient

Recommendation

Remove the user `USDT` balance checks in `_mintWithBacking`, and just use `block.timestamp` in the `router.swapExactETHForTokens` function call.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Overview

Artemis

- This contract is an **ERC20** token that is backed by **USDT** stored in the contract. The token can be bought and sold directly through the contract, but the amounts received are less than the backing price, which means that the backing price increases as the token is bought, sold, and transferred. If **USDT** loses its peg to the dollar, then the **Artemis** token will also lose its value.
- When the token is transferred from an address that is not **isTransferFeeExempt** to an address that is also not **isTransferFeeExempt**, the receiving address receives **transferFee** percentage (defaults to 92%) of the amount transferred. **feeRecipientPercentage** (defaults to 50%) of the remainder of the amount (4% of the amount transferred) is sent to the **feeRecipient** address, and anything that is left (final 4% of the amount transferred) is burned. **The contract owner has admin powers to change isTransferFeeExempt and transferFee (minimum 90%), while the feeRecipientSetter has admin powers to change the feeRecipient and feeRecipientPercentage (up to 100%).**
- **mintWithNative** and **mintWithBacking** can be used to mint new **Artemis** tokens (the former using **ETH**, and the latter using **USDT**). The address performing the mint, if not **isTransferFeeExempt**, will mint **mintFee** percentage (defaults to 92%) of the amount that is based on the backing price. **feeRecipientPercentage** (defaults to 50%) of the remainder of the amount based on the backing price (4%) will be minted to the **feeRecipient** address. **The contract owner has admin powers to change the mintFee (minimum 90%), and can also disable/enable these functions to prevent/allow new token mints. They can also change the router used to swap ETH to USDT (defaults to UniswapV2Router).**
- **sell** can be used to sell the **Artemis** token for **USDT**. If the seller is not **isTransferFeeExempt**, **sellFee** percentage (defaults to 92%) of the amount is sold to **USDT**. **feeRecipientPercentage** (defaults to 50%) of the remainder of the unsold tokens (4%) is sent to the **feeRecipient** address, and anything that is left (4%) is burned. This function cannot be disabled. **The contract owner has admin powers to change the sellFee (minimum 90%).**

Overview

Artemis

- The `calculatePrice` externally viewable variable returns the `USDT` backing price of 1 `Artemis` token.
- The `amountOut` externally viewable variable returns the `USDT` backing price of the specified number of `Artemis` tokens.
- The `getValueOfHoldings` externally viewable variable returns the `USDT` backing price of the `Artemis` holdings of the specified user address.
- The contract owner has admin powers to withdraw any token from the `Artemis` contract other than `USDT`.
- The contract owner has admin powers to change the contract owner as well as the `feeRecipientSetter`.

Audit Findings

FeeRecipient

FEE-01 - Security High Severity

This contract has a dependency on the [0x5Dc5695Cc991f277f47EcEF73f5A016d8a938B94](#) GenesisArtemisApes contract which is not being audited by Prisma Shield, and therefore code paths that rely on that contract might have logical errors or security vulnerabilities.

Recommendation

It is advisable that this contract is also audited to ensure correctness.

Resolution

The team declined to have that contract audited by Prisma Shield. Their reasoning was that it is a simple NFT contract that does not require an audit.

Audit Findings

FeeRecipient

FEE-02 - Security High Severity

The `withdraw` function allows only `dev0` to call it and withdraw any token from the contract, including the `Artemis` token.

Recommendation

This function should be removed, or it should be modified to not allow withdrawing the `Artemis` token.

Resolution

The team confirmed that the `withdraw` function was added for safety net in case of extreme circumstance. The team also confirmed that `dev0` is set to the address of a doxxed owner.

Audit Findings

FeeRecipient

FEE-03 - Logical High Severity

`distributeBalance` has a loop over an externally modifiable length, which could lead to out-of-gas errors if the loop grows too large, which would lock out the trigger function in this contract from being called.

Recommendation

Loops should be limited in size to avoid this class of errors.

Resolution

The team confirmed that the external variable is controlled via owner and not manipulatable unless under extreme circumstances.

Audit Findings

FeeRecipient

FEE-04 - Logical High Severity

`distributeBalance` returns if the `totalSupply() >= 0`, and therefore never distributes the funds to the NFT holders.

Recommendation

That if-condition should be changed to `if (totalSupply() == 0)`.

Resolution

The team has implemented the recommendation.

Overview

FeeRecipient

- This contract receives **Artemis** tokens and distributes them, 1/4th of the tokens are split equally between 4 addresses: **dev0**, **dev1**, **dev2**, and **dev3**. The remaining 3/4th are split equally between NFT holders.
- The **trigger** function is what distributes the tokens as described above. **Only one of dev0, dev1, dev2, or dev3 can call this function.**
- The **withdraw** function can be used to withdraw any token from the contract, including the **Artemis** token (without having it be distributed to any of the other addresses). **dev0 has admin powers to call this function.**
- **Each of dev0, dev1, dev2, and dev3 has the ability to change only their address.**

How to Interpret Findings

Security - High Severity

Indicates that users' funds are at risk or that there is a high probability of exploitation.

Security - Medium Severity

No risk to the protocol or those who interact with it, however it should be highlighted nonetheless.

Logical - High Severity

Indicates that the errors puts users' funds at risk, or can result in significant functional failure in the code.

Logical - Medium Severity

Indicates some functional failure or discrepancy in the code.

Logical - Informational

Minor discrepancy between the intended functionality of the code and the implementation, which does not result in functional failure, or a recommendation to improve the logic.

Yellow Text

Indicates centralization of control and admin powers.

Red Text

An important warning to take note of.

Disclaimer

The information in this deep logic audit report objectively describes the smart contracts being audited, and points out logical and mathematical errors, security risks and vulnerabilities, and optimization opportunities in the audited code. This deep logic audit does not ensure the correctness or authenticity of any software or dApp that interacts with or claims to interact with any smart contract.

This audit report does not constitute any advice whatsoever. You are solely responsible for conducting your own due diligence and consulting your financial advisor before making any investment decisions. Trust in project owners is required to invest in this protocol as a Prisma Shield audit does not ensure the fulfillment of roadmap deliverables and allocation of funds. While our deep logic audits raise the level of security, reliability, mathematical accuracy, and logical soundness of the smart contracts reviewed, they do not amount to any form of warranty or guarantee that the reviewed smart contracts are void of any weaknesses, vulnerabilities, or bugs. Prisma Shield and its founders, employees, owners, and associates are not liable for any damage or loss of funds. Please ensure trust in the team prior to investing as this deep logic audit does not guarantee the promised use of your funds.

In its current form, the Artemis token solely relies on transaction volume as its driving mechanism. It is collateralized by the USDT token ([0xFd086bC7CD5C481DCC9C85ebE478A1C0b69FCbb9](https://etherscan.io/address/0xFd086bC7CD5C481DCC9C85ebE478A1C0b69FCbb9)) which has not been audited by Prisma Shield. If USDT encounters issues of any kind that cause loss of value, ARTMS will be affected.



Introducing Deep Logic
Smart Contract
Auditing to Web3



prismashield.com



prismashield@gmail.com



[PrismaShield](https://twitter.com/PrismaShield)



[PrismaShield](https://t.me/PrismaShield)